

Corrielus, Jean B.

Subject: FW: 10/697,393
Importance: High

From: Corrielus, Jean B.
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 10:29 AM
To: 'Tom Williams'
Subject: RE: 10/697,393
Importance: High

Mr.. William,

The draft amendment emailed on 5/8/09 has been reviewed with the following effect:

Specification:

changes previously made (in the response filed on 4/3/09) should be shown without markings.

Page 12, lines 10-12, and page 13, lines 7-8, "inverse should be deleted, "IFFT" should be replaced by "FFT" and "DIFT" should be replaced by "DFT" for the reasons indicated in previous interviews.

CLAIMS:

in both claims 9 and 10, lines 3, remove the line, only the "comprising" needs to be shown by "strike-through".

claim 10, line 8, "an inverse" should be replaced by "a", line 15, "an inverse" should be inserted before "Fourier"; lines 15 and line 17 and claim 12, line 2, "frequency" should be replaced by "time".

Drawing:

provide replacement sheet for drawing including marked up, per comments.

let me know if you would prefer an office action to be issued instead to address all the concerns.

Note that the case needs to be acted on by midweek next week. If I do not hear from you by then I will issue an office action.

Thanks, Examiner Corrielus.

From: Tom Williams [mailto:tom@holtzmaninc.com]
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 1:51 AM
To: Corrielus, Jean B.
Subject: RE: 10/697,393

Examiner Corrielus,

See attached file. Let me know if you see any deficiencies.

Regards,
Thomas H. Williams

6/5/2009